

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1456 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uprio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,805	02	/03/2004	Keishi Tamura	1309.43490X00	9553
24956	7590	09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	•	GER, MALUR	PATEL, HETUL B		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 2	22314	2186		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del> </del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	10/769,805	TAMURA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Hetul Patel	2186
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Extensions after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5)⊠ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application	on Papers		
10)□ T , ,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communication filed on August 21, 2006. This amendment has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1-7 are amended and claims 8-9 are cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

## **Priority**

- 2. Examiner noted the acknowledgment of a certified copy of the Japanese Application 2003-393647 filed in Japan on November 25, 2003. However, it is disregarded because Applicant submitted it by an error as indicated by Applicant in the last paragraph of page 9 in the response filed on August 21, 2006.
- 3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on September 29, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese Application 2003-337239 as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The clear support and antecedent basis is not found for the term "a virtualization function" in the specification of the current application in such a

Application/Control Number: 10/769,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

way so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because a person of skill in the art would not be able to ascertain the metes and bound of the claimed invention, specifically, for the term "a virtualization function" used in claims 1-4 and 6-7.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 5 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2186

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hetul Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-4184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2186

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HBP HBP

TUAN V. THAI PRIMARY EXAMINER